

# On the Decidability of Conversion in Type Theory

Andreas Abel, Thierry Coquand, Bassel Mannaa

University of Gothenburg

May 25, 2016

# Introduction

We present a proof of the following theorem

## Theorem

*Let  $\Gamma \vdash t : A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash u : A$ . It is decidable whether  $\Gamma \vdash t = u : A$ .*

- Proof by Kripke logical relations defined on weak head reduction.
- Two logical relations.
  - $\Pi$  injectivity.
  - Conversion.

# Syntax

- Language

$$\begin{aligned} t, u, A, B := & x \mid U \mid N \mid \Pi(x : A)B \mid \lambda x. t \mid t\,u \mid \\ & 0 \mid S\,t \mid \text{natrec } (\lambda x. A)\,t\,u \end{aligned}$$

- Neutral terms

$$k := x \mid S\,k \mid k\,u \mid \text{natrec } (\lambda x. A)\,c_z\,g\,k$$

# Typed Weak Head Reduction

- One step weak head reduction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t \rightarrow t' : \Pi(x:A)B \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash t \ a \rightarrow t'a : B[a]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:A \vdash t : B \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.t) \ a \rightarrow t[a/x] : B[a]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t \rightarrow u : A \quad \Gamma \vdash A = B}{\Gamma \vdash t \rightarrow u : B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B : \mathbb{U}}{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B}$$

- Define  $\rightarrow^*$  the reflexive transitive closure of  $\rightarrow$

# 1st Logical Relation

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow^* K \quad K \text{ neutral}}{\Gamma \Vdash A}$$

- $\Gamma \Vdash A = B$  if
  - $\Gamma \vdash B \rightarrow^* L$  with  $L$  neutral and $\Gamma \vdash K = L.$
- $\Gamma \Vdash t : A$  if  $\Gamma \vdash t \rightarrow^* I : A$  with  $I$  neutral.
- $\Gamma \Vdash t = u : A$  if
  - $\Gamma \vdash t \rightarrow^* I : A$  with  $I$  neutral and
  - $\Gamma \vdash u \rightarrow^* k : A$  with  $k$  neutral and
  - $\Gamma \vdash I = k : A.$

# 1st Logical Relation

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow^* \Pi(x:F)G \quad \Gamma \Vdash F}{\frac{(\forall \Delta \leq \Gamma)(\Delta \Vdash a : F \Rightarrow \Delta \Vdash G[a]) \quad (\forall \Delta \leq \Gamma)(\Delta \Vdash a = b : F \Rightarrow \Delta \Vdash G[a] = G[b])}{\Gamma \Vdash A}}$$

- $\Gamma \Vdash A = B$  if

$\Gamma \Vdash B$  with  $\Gamma \vdash B \rightarrow^* \Pi(x:H)E$  and

$\Gamma \Vdash F = H$  and

for all  $\Delta \leq \Gamma$ ,  $(\Delta \Vdash a : F) \Rightarrow (\Delta \Vdash G[a] = E[a])$

# 1st Logical Relation

- $\Gamma \Vdash f : A$  if  $\Gamma \vdash f : A$  and for all  $\Delta \leq \Gamma$ ,  
 $(\Delta \Vdash a : F) \Rightarrow (\Delta \Vdash f a : G[a])$  and  
 $(\Delta \Vdash a = b : F) \Rightarrow (\Delta \Vdash f a = f b : G[a])$
- $\Gamma \Vdash f = g : A$  if  
 $\Gamma \Vdash f : A$  and  $\Gamma \Vdash g : A$  and  
for all  $\Delta \leq \Gamma$ ,  $(\Delta \Vdash a : F) \Rightarrow (\Delta \Vdash f a = g a : G[a])$

# 1st Logical Relation

- Straightforward from the definition

**Lemma** If  $\Gamma \Vdash J$  then  $\Gamma \vdash J$ .

- Completeness (By induction on the type system)

**Lemma** If  $\Gamma \vdash J$  then  $\Gamma \Vdash J$

- Corollaries

- If  $\Gamma \vdash \Pi(x:F)G = B$  then  $\Gamma \vdash B \rightarrow^* \Pi(x:H)E$  and  $\Gamma \vdash F = H$  and  $\Gamma, x:F \vdash G = E$ .
- If  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.t : \Pi(x:F)G$  then  $\Gamma, x:F \vdash t : G$ .
- If  $t$  is neutral and  $\Gamma \vdash t : A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t : B$  then  $\Gamma \vdash A = B$ .
- If  $\vdash t : N$  then  $\vdash t \rightarrow^* S^m 0 : N$ .

# Conversion

$$\frac{x : A \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x \sim x}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash k \sim I \quad \Gamma \vdash k : \Pi(x : F)G \quad \Gamma \vdash t \text{ conv } v : F}{\Gamma \vdash kt \sim Iv}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash k \sim I \quad \Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow^* M \quad \Gamma \vdash k : A}{\Gamma \vdash k \text{ conv } I : A} M \text{ neutral or base type}$$

# Conversion

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash F \text{ conv } H \quad \Gamma, x : F \vdash G[x] \text{ conv } E[x]}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi(x : F)G \text{ conv } \Pi(x : H)E}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash f : \Pi(x : F)G \\ \Gamma \vdash g : \Pi(x : F)G \quad \Gamma, x : F \vdash f\,x \text{ conv } g\,x : G[x] \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash f \text{ conv } g : \Pi(x : F)G}$$

# Conversion

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow^* A' \quad \Gamma \vdash B \rightarrow^* B' \quad \Gamma \vdash A' \text{conv} B'}{\Gamma \vdash A \text{conv} B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow^* B \quad \Gamma \vdash a \rightarrow^* a' : B \quad \Gamma \vdash b \rightarrow^* b' : B \quad \Gamma \vdash a' \text{conv} b' : B}{\Gamma \vdash a \text{conv} b : A}$$

## Lemma

Let  $\Gamma \vdash t : A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash u : A$ . It is decidable whether  $\Gamma \vdash t \text{conv} u : A$ .

Straightforward from the definition we have

- If  $\Gamma \vdash a \text{conv} b : A$  then  $\Gamma \vdash a = b : A$

## 2nd Logical Relation

Except for neutral types and terms the logical relation remain the same.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow^* K \quad K \text{ neutral} \quad \Gamma \vdash K \text{ conv } K}{\Gamma \Vdash A}$$

- $\Gamma \Vdash A = B$  if  $A \text{conv } B$ .
- $\Gamma \Vdash t : A$  if  $\Gamma \vdash t \text{ conv } t : A$ .
- $\Gamma \Vdash t = u : A$  if
  - $\Gamma \Vdash t : A$  and  $\Gamma \Vdash u : A$  and
  - $\Gamma \vdash t \text{ conv } u : A$ .

## 2nd Logical Relation

- Easy direction

**Lemma** If  $\Gamma \Vdash a = b : A$  then  $\Gamma \vdash a \text{conv } b : A$ .

- Completeness (By induction on the type system)

**Lemma** If  $\Gamma \vdash J$  then  $\Gamma \Vdash J$ .

### Theorem

If  $\Gamma \vdash a : A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash b : A$  then  $\Gamma \vdash a = b : A$  iff  $\Gamma \vdash a \text{conv } b : A$ .

That is, it is decidable whether  $\Gamma \vdash a = b : A$ .