

A Strongly Normalizing Computation Rule for Univalence in Higher-Order Propositional Logic

Robin Adams Marc Bezem Thierry Coquand

TYPES 2016, Novi Sad, Serbia
May 26 2016

This talk is a literate Agda file:

<https://www.github.com/radams78/Univalence>

Introduction

Type Theory Orthodoxy

- To enjoy a good meaning explanation, a type theory should enjoy these properties:
 - **Confluence** — Reduction is confluent.
 - **Strong Normalization** — Every reduction strategy terminates.
 - **Canonicity** — Hence every well-typed term of type A reduces to a unique canonical form of A .
 - E.g. every closed term of type \mathbb{N} reduces to a unique numeral.
- The *univalence axiom* postulates a function

$$\text{isotoid} : A \simeq B \rightarrow A = B$$

that is an inverse to the obvious function $A = B \rightarrow A \simeq B$.

- This breaks canonicity.

Possible Solutions

- Lower our standards
 - **Voevodsky's Conjecture — Propositional Canonicity**
For every closed term $t : \mathbb{N}$, there exists a numeral n and a proof $\vdash p : Id_{\mathbb{N}}(t, n)$.
- Use a type theory in which *isotoid* is definable (Cubical Type Theory, [Pol14])
- Introduce a reduction rule for *isotoid*.

Our Approach

We begin with a small type theory, and work our way up to the full HoTT.

1 λoe — **Predicative Higher-Order Propositional Logic**

A type theory with:

- a universe Ω of *propositions* with \perp and \supset
- a universe U of *small types* with Ω and \rightarrow
- for any two terms $M, N : A$, a (large) type $M =_A N$.

2 λoi — **P.H.O.P.L. with Equality**

Make $\delta =_{\phi} \epsilon$ a proposition. (So we can form propositions like $M =_A N \supset N =_A M$.)

For the future: universal quantification, natural numbers, inductive types, path elimination, ...

About the Formalization

About the Formalization

This work is being formalized in Agda (work in progress).

It will involve several systems and reduction relations.

I want to prove only once:

- $M[x := N][y := P] \equiv M[y := P[x := N]][x := N]$
- If $M \twoheadrightarrow N$ then $M[x := P] \twoheadrightarrow N[x := P]$
- If $M[x := P]$ is SN then M is SN.
- Etc.

The formalization includes a general notion of 'grammar' and 'reduction relation'. (To do: general notion of derivation rules.)

Example: Simply-typed lambda calculus

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Type } A ::= \perp \mid A \rightarrow A \\ \text{Term } M ::= x \mid \lambda x : A. M \mid MM \end{array}$$

- Two kinds: 'Type' (non-variable kind) and 'Term' (variable kind)
- Four constructors:
 - \perp — kind Type
 - \rightarrow — kind (Type, Type) Type
 - λ — kind (Type, (Term) Term) Term
 - *app* — kind (Term, Term) Term

A *grammar* over a taxonomy consists of: consists of:

- a set of *expression kinds*;
- a subset of expression kinds, called the *variable kinds*. We refer to the other expression kinds as *non-variable kinds*.
- a set of *constructors*, each with an associated *constructor kind* of the form

$$((A_{11}, \dots, A_{1r_1})B_1, \dots, (A_{m1}, \dots, A_{mr_m})B_m)C \quad (1)$$

where each A_{ij} is a variable kind, and each B_i and C is an expression kind.

- a function assigning, to each variable kind K , an expression kind, the *parent* of K .

A *taxonomy* consists of:

- a set of *expression kinds*;
- a subset of expression kinds, called the *variable kinds*. We refer to the other expression kinds as *non-variable kinds*.

```
record Taxonomy : Set1 where
```

```
  field
```

```
    VarKind : Set
```

```
    NonVarKind : Set
```

```
data ExpressionKind : Set where
```

```
  varKind : VarKind → ExpressionKind
```

```
  nonVarKind : NonVarKind → ExpressionKind
```

We can now define the set of expressions over a grammar:

```
data Subexpression : Alphabet → ∀ C → Kind C → Set
Expression : Alphabet → ExpressionKind → Set
Body : Alphabet → ∀ {K} → Kind (-Constructor K) → Set
```

```
Expression V K = Subexpression V -Expression (base K)
Body V {K} C = Subexpression V (-Constructor K) C
```

```
infixr 50 _', _
```

```
data Subexpression where
```

```
var : ∀ {V} {K} → Var V K → Expression V (varKind K)
app : ∀ {V} {K} {C} → Constructor C → Body V {K} C →
      Expression V K
```

```
out : ∀ {V} {K} → Body V (out K)
```

```
_"_ : ∀ {V} {K} {A} {L} {C} → Expression (extend V A) L →
      Body V {K} C → Body V (Π A L C)
```

Predicative Higher-Order Propositional Logic

The Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus

We begin with the simply-typed lambda calculus (no surprises so far):

Type $A ::= \Omega \mid A \rightarrow A$
Term $M, \phi ::= x \mid \lambda x : A. M \mid MM$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. M : A \rightarrow B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B}$$

Propositional Logic

Ω is the universe of propositions:

Term $M, \phi ::= \dots \mid \perp \mid \phi \supset \phi$
Proof $\delta ::= p \mid \lambda p : \phi. \delta \mid \delta \delta$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, p : \phi \vdash \delta : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : \phi. \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \psi} (\phi \simeq \psi)$$

Extensional Equality

On top of this we add extensional equality.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Path } P &::= e \mid \text{ref}(M) \mid \text{univ}_{\phi, \psi}(P, P) \mid P \supset^* P \mid \\ &P_{NN}P \mid \lambda x e : x =_A x.P \\ \text{Proof } \delta &::= \dots \mid P^+ \mid P^- \end{aligned}$$

Judgement form $\Gamma \vdash P : M =_A N$.

Two main ways to prove equality:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ref}(M) : M =_A M} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \psi \rightarrow \phi}{\Gamma \vdash \text{univ}_{\phi, \psi}(\delta, \epsilon) : \phi =_{\Omega} \psi}$$

We can eliminate equalities in Ω :

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P : \phi =_{\Omega} \psi}{\Gamma \vdash P^+ : \phi \rightarrow \psi} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash P : \psi =_{\Omega} \phi}{\Gamma \vdash P^- : \psi \rightarrow \phi}$$

Congruence rule for λ :

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A, y : A, e : x =_A y \vdash Mx =_B Ny}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda e : x =_A y. P : M =_{A \rightarrow B} N}$$

e, x and y are bound within P .

Congruence rules and conversion

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P : \phi =_{\Omega} \phi' \quad \Gamma \vdash Q : \psi =_{\Omega} \psi'}{\Gamma \vdash P \supset^* Q : \phi \supset \psi =_{\Omega} \phi' \supset \psi'}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P : M =_{A \rightarrow B} M' \quad \Gamma \vdash Q : N =_A N'}{\Gamma \vdash P_{NN'} Q : MN =_B M' N'}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P : M =_A N \quad \Gamma \vdash M' : A \quad \Gamma \vdash N' : A}{\Gamma \vdash P : M' =_A N'} \quad (M \simeq M', N \simeq N')$$

The Reduction Relation

The ' β -rules':

$$(\lambda x : A.M)N \triangleright M[x := N]$$

$$\text{ref } (\phi)^+ \triangleright \lambda p : \phi.p$$

$$\text{univ}_{\phi,\psi} (\delta, \epsilon)^+ \triangleright \delta$$

$$(\lambda p : \phi.\delta)\epsilon \triangleright \delta[p := \epsilon]$$

$$\text{ref } (\phi)^- \triangleright \lambda p : \phi.p$$

$$\text{univ}_{\phi,\psi} (\delta, \epsilon)^- \triangleright \epsilon$$

The Reduction Relation

We make univ and ref move out past \supset^* and application:

$$\text{ref}(\phi) \supset^* \text{univ}_{\psi, \chi}(\delta, \epsilon) \triangleright \text{univ}_{\phi \supset \psi, \phi \supset \chi}(\lambda p, q. \delta(pq), \lambda p, q. \epsilon(pq))$$

$$\text{univ}_{\phi, \psi}(\delta, \epsilon) \supset^* \text{ref}(\chi) \triangleright \text{univ}_{\phi \supset \chi, \psi \supset \chi}(\lambda p, q. p(\epsilon q), \lambda p, q. p(\delta q))$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{univ}_{\phi, \psi}(\delta, \epsilon) \supset^* \text{univ}_{\phi', \psi'}(\delta', \epsilon') \\ & \triangleright \text{univ}_{\phi \supset \phi', \psi \supset \psi'}(\lambda p, q. \delta'(p(\epsilon q)), \lambda p, q. \epsilon'(p(\delta q))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{ref}(\phi) \supset^* \text{ref}(\psi) \triangleright \text{ref}(\phi \supset \psi) \qquad \text{ref}(M)_{N_1 N_2} \text{ref}(N) \triangleright \text{ref}(MN)$$

The Reduction Relation

We construct a proof of $M =_{A \rightarrow B} N$, then apply it. What is the result?

- $\text{ref}(M)_{N_1 N_2} \text{ref}(N) \triangleright \text{ref}(MN)$
- $(\lambda e : x =_A y. P)_{N_1 N_2} Q \triangleright P[x := N_1, y := N_2, e := Q]$
- If $P \neq \text{ref}(-)$, then $\text{ref}(\lambda x : A. M)_{NN'} P \triangleright ???$

$$\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B, \quad \Gamma \vdash P : N =_A N'$$

Path Substitution

Define the operation of *path substitution* such that, if

$P : M =_A M'$ then

$N\{x := P : M \sim M'\} \equiv N\{x := P\} : N[x := M] =_B N[x := M']$.

$$x\{x := P\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P$$

$$y\{x := P\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ref}(y) \quad (y \neq x)$$

$$\perp\{x := P\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ref}(\perp)$$

$$(LL')\{x := P : M \sim M'\}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L\{x := P\}L'[x:=M]L'[x:=M']L'\{x := P\}$$

$$(\lambda y : A.L)\{x := P\}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda e : a =_A a'.L\{x := P, y := e : a \sim a'\}$$

$$(\phi \supset \psi)\{x := P\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi\{x := P\} \supset^* \psi\{x := P\}$$

The Reduction Relation

We construct a proof of $M =_{A \rightarrow B} N$, then apply it. What is the result?

- $\text{ref}(M) \text{ref}(N) \triangleright \text{ref}(MN)$
- $(\lambda e : x =_A y. P)_{MN} Q \triangleright P[x := M, y := N, e := Q]$
- If $P \not\equiv \text{ref}(-)$, then
 $\text{ref}(\lambda x : A. M)_{N, N'} P \triangleright M\{x := P : N \sim N'\}$

Confluence

Theorem (Local Confluence)

The reduction relation \rightarrow is locally confluent. That is, if $E \rightarrow F$ and $E \rightarrow G$, then there exists H such that $F \twoheadrightarrow H$ and $G \twoheadrightarrow H$.

Proof.

Case analysis on $E \rightarrow F$ and $E \rightarrow G$. There are no critical pairs. □

Local-Confluent : $\forall \{V\} \{C\} \{K\}$
 $\{E F G : \text{Subexpression } V C K\} \rightarrow E \Rightarrow F \rightarrow E \Rightarrow G \rightarrow$
 $\Sigma [H \in \text{Subexpression } V C K] (F \twoheadrightarrow H \times G \twoheadrightarrow H)$

Corollary (Newman's Lemma)

Every strongly normalizing term is confluent, hence has a unique normal form.

Strong Normalization

Tait's Method

We define a model of the type theory with types as sets of terms. For every type (proposition, equation) A in context Γ , define the set of *computable* terms $E_\Gamma(A)$.

The definition is such that:

- 1 If $M \in E_\Gamma(A)$ then $\Gamma \vdash M : A$ and M is strongly normalizing.
- 2 $E_\Gamma(A)$ is closed under *key redex expansion*.
- 3 If $A \simeq B$ then $E_\Gamma(A) = E_\Gamma(B)$.

Define the sets of *computable* terms, proofs and paths as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\Gamma}(\Omega) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{M \mid \Gamma \vdash M : \Omega, M \in \mathbf{SN}\} \\ E_{\Gamma}(A \rightarrow B) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{M \mid \Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B, \\ &\quad \forall(\Delta \supseteq \Gamma)(N \in E_{\Delta}(A)). MN \in E_{\Delta}(B), \\ &\quad \forall(\Delta \supseteq \Gamma)(N, N' \in E_{\Delta}(A))(P \in E_{\Delta}(N =_A N')). \\ &\quad \text{ref}(M)_{NN'} P \in E_{\Gamma}(MN =_B MN')\} \end{aligned}$$

Computable Terms

$$E_{\Gamma}(\perp) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\delta \mid \Gamma \vdash \delta : \perp, \delta \in \mathbf{SN}\}$$
$$E_{\Gamma}(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\delta \mid \Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi, \\ \forall(\Delta \supseteq \Gamma)(\epsilon \in E_{\Delta}(\phi)).\delta\epsilon \in E_{\Gamma}(\psi)\}$$

$$E_{\Gamma}(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\delta \mid \Gamma \vdash \delta : \perp, \delta \in \mathbf{SN}\}$$

(ϕ neutral)

$$E_{\Gamma}(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_{\Gamma}(nf(\phi))$$

(ϕ a normalizable term of type Ω)

Computable Terms

$$E_{\Gamma}(\phi =_{\Omega} \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{P \mid \Gamma \vdash P : \phi =_{\Omega} \psi, \\ P^+ \in E_{\Gamma}(\phi \rightarrow \psi), P^- \in E_{\Gamma}(\psi \rightarrow \phi)\}$$

$$E_{\Gamma}(M =_{A \rightarrow B} M') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{P \mid \Gamma \vdash P : M =_{A \rightarrow B} M', \\ \forall(\Delta \supseteq \Gamma)(N, N' \in E_{\Delta}(A))(Q \in E_{\Delta}(N =_A N')). \\ P_{NN'} Q \in E_{\Delta}(MN =_B M'N')\}$$

The Main Theorem

Theorem

Let σ be a substitution such that, for all $x : A \in \Gamma$, we have $\sigma(x) \in E_{\Delta}(A)$. Then, if $\Gamma \vdash M : A$, then $M[\sigma] \in E_{\Delta}(A)$.

Computable-Sub : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } U V) \{\Gamma\} \{\Delta\}$
 $\{M : \text{Expression } U (\text{varKind } K)\} \{A\} \rightarrow$
 $\sigma : \Gamma \Rightarrow C \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow \text{valid } \Delta \rightarrow E' \Delta (A [\sigma]) (M [\sigma])$

Corollary (Strong Normalization)

Every well-typed term, proof and path is strongly normalizing.

Strong-Normalization : $\forall V K (\Gamma : \text{Context } V)$
 $(M : \text{Expression } V (\text{varKind } K)) A \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow \text{SN } M$

Corollary (Consistency)

There is no proof δ such that $\vdash \delta : \perp$.

The System λ_{oi}

Internal Equality

We place the propositions $M =_A N$ inside Ω , so we can form (and prove!)

$\text{sym} : M =_A N \supset N =_A M$, $\text{trans} : M =_A N \supset N =_A P \supset M =_A P$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash M =_A N : \Omega}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : M =_A M' \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : N =_A N'}{\Gamma \vdash \delta =^*_A \epsilon : (M =_A N) =_{\Omega} (M' =_A N')}$$

New reductions include:

$$\text{ref}(\phi) =^*_\Omega \text{univ}_{\psi, \chi}(\delta, \epsilon)$$
$$\triangleright \text{univ}_{\phi =_\Omega \psi, \phi =_\Omega \chi}(\lambda p : \phi =_\psi . \text{univ}_{\phi, \chi}(\lambda q : \phi . \delta(p^+ q), \lambda q : \chi . p^-(\epsilon q)),$$
$$\lambda p : \phi =_\Omega \chi . \text{univ}_{\phi, \psi}(\lambda q : \phi . \epsilon(p^+ q), \lambda q : \psi . p^-(\delta q)))$$

Conclusion

Conclusion

- We have shown two systems that each have all these properties:
 - Univalence
 - Strong Normalization
 - Confluence of typed terms
 - Canonicity
- So it is possible!
- The simplicity is due to the separation between terms and proofs.
- For the future: extract a normalizer. Universal quantification.
- Follow the progress here:
www.github.com/radams78/Univalence



Andrew Polonsky.

Internalization of extensional equality.

CoRR, [abs/1401.1148](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.1148), 2014.