

# A Linear Dependent Type Theory

Zhaohui Luo

Royal Holloway

University of London

Yu Zhang

Institute of Software

Chinese Academy of Sciences

# Linear types and dependent types

- ❖ Linear types (Girard 1987):  $A \multimap B$
- ❖ Dependent types (Martin-Löf 1970s):  $\prod x:A. B[x]$
- ❖ How to combine them?
  - ❖ In most of existing work (Pfenning et al 2002, Krishnaswami et al 2015, Vákár 2015)
    - ❖  $B[x]$  only when  $x$  is intuitionistic.
    - ❖ Hence it is possible to separate intuitionistic  $\Gamma$  and linear  $\Delta$ :  $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash a : A$
    - ❖  $\Delta$  depends on  $\Gamma$ , but not the other way around.
  - ❖ McBride (2016)
    - ❖ “Prices” in contextual entries and typing and allow type dependency on 0-priced variables – discussion later.
    - ❖ Independent with this work (we became aware of Conor’s work only two weeks ago – detailed comparison due.)
- ❖ This paper: LDTT, where types can depend on linear variables.

# LDTT: Linear and Intuitionistic Variables

- ❖ Contexts are sequences of two forms of entries:

$x:A, y::B[x], z:C[x,y], \dots$

- ❖ Intuitionistic variables  $x : A$
- ❖ Linear variables  $y :: B$

- ❖ Types dependent on linear variables

- ❖ Example:  $x::A, f : A \multimap A \mid - \text{Eq}_A(f\ x, x)$  type

# Intuitionistic $\Pi$ -types

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash B \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x:A.B \text{ type}} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:A.b : \Pi x:A.B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \Pi x:A.B \quad \bar{\Gamma} \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash f(a) : [a/x]B}$$

- ❖  $\bar{\Gamma}$  – the intuitionistic part of context  $\Gamma$ 
  - ❖  $\bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma \setminus \text{FV}_{\text{LD}}(\Gamma)$  – removing the linear dependent variables
    - ❖  $\text{FV}_{\text{LD}}(\langle \rangle) = \emptyset$
    - ❖  $\text{FV}_{\text{LD}}(\Gamma, x:A) = \text{FV}_{\text{LD}}(\Gamma)$  if  $\text{FV}(A) \cap \text{FV}_{\text{LD}}(\Gamma) = \emptyset$ ;  
 $= \text{FV}_{\text{LD}}(\Gamma) \cup \{x\}$  otherwise
    - ❖  $\text{FV}_{\text{LD}}(\Gamma, x::A) = \text{FV}_{\text{LD}}(\Gamma) \cup \{x\}$
  - ❖ Example:  $\Gamma \equiv x:A, y::B, z:C$ 
    - $\bar{\Gamma} \equiv x:A, z:C$  if  $y \notin \text{FV}(C)$
    - $\bar{\Gamma} \equiv x:A,$  if  $y \in \text{FV}(C)$

# Linear $\Pi$ -types

$$\frac{\Gamma, x::A \vdash B \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x::A. B \text{ type}} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x::A \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x::A. b : \Pi x::A. B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \Pi x::A. B \quad \Delta \vdash a : A \quad \text{Merge}(\Gamma; \Delta) \downarrow}{\text{Merge}(\Gamma; \Delta) \vdash f a : [a/x]B}$$

- ❖  $\text{Merge}(\Gamma; \Delta)$  is only defined if
  - ❖  $\bar{\Gamma} \equiv \bar{\Delta}$  (the intuitionistic parts are the same)
  - ❖  $FV_{LD}(\Gamma) \cap FV_{LD}(\Delta) = \emptyset$  ( $\Gamma/\Delta$  do not share linear dependent variables)
- ❖ When the above are the case, Merge is defined as:
  - (a)  $\text{Merge}(\langle \rangle; \langle \rangle) = \langle \rangle$ .
  - (b) If  $x \in FV_{LD}(\Gamma) \cup FV_{LD}(\Delta)$ ,  
 $\text{Merge}(\Gamma, x\bar{::}A; \Delta) = \text{Merge}(\Gamma; \Delta, x\bar{::}A) = \text{Merge}(\Gamma; \Delta), x\bar{::}A$ ,  
 where  $\bar{\cdot}$  is either  $:$  or  $::$ .
  - (c)  $\text{Merge}(\Gamma, x:A; \Delta, x:A) = \text{Merge}(\Gamma; \Delta), x:A$ .

- ❖ Example:
  - $\Gamma \equiv x:A, y_1::B_1, z:C$
  - $\Delta \equiv x:A, y_2::B_2, z:C$
  - $\text{Merge}(\Gamma; \Delta) \equiv x:A, z:C, y_1::B_1, y_2::B_2$

Note:  $y_1 \neq y_2$  and  $y_1, y_2 \notin FV(C)$  for otherwise,  $\text{Merge}(\Gamma; \Delta)$  would be undefined.

# Equality Types

## ❖ Formation rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Delta \vdash b : A \quad \text{merge}(\Gamma; \Delta) \downarrow}{\text{merge}(\Gamma; \Delta) \vdash \text{Eq}_A(a, b) \text{ type}}$$

## ❖ $\text{merge}(\Gamma; \Delta)$ is defined only when var-sharing is OK:

$x?A \in \Gamma, x?B \in \Delta \rightarrow A \equiv B$  and ? is both : or both ::

## ❖ $\text{merge}(\Gamma; \Delta)$ is defined as

(a)  $\text{merge}(\Gamma; \langle \rangle) = \Gamma$ .

(b)  $\text{merge}(\Gamma; x\bar{:}A, \Delta) = \begin{cases} \text{merge}(\Gamma; \Delta) & \text{if } x \in FV(\Gamma) \\ \text{merge}(\Gamma, x\bar{:}A; \Delta) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

## ❖ Examples:

❖  $x::A, f : A \multimap A \vdash f x : A$  and  $x::A \vdash x : A \rightarrow x::A, f : A \multimap A \vdash \text{Eq}_A(f x, x) \text{ type}$

❖  $x::A \vdash x : A$  and  $y::A \vdash y : A \rightarrow x::A, y::A \vdash \text{Eq}(x, y) \text{ type}$

## ❖ Introduction and elimination rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{refl}(a) : \text{Eq}_A(a, a)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \text{Eq}_A(a, b) \quad \Delta \vdash q : B[a] \quad \text{Merge}(\Gamma; \Delta), x:A \vdash B[x] \text{ type } (\bar{\tau} \in \{:, ::\}) \quad \text{Merge}(\Gamma; \Delta) \downarrow}{\text{Merge}(\Gamma; \Delta) \vdash \text{subst}(x.B, p, q) : B[b]}$$

# Variable Typing

$$\frac{\Gamma, x\bar{:}A, \Gamma' \text{ valid} \quad (\text{for all } y::\Gamma_y \in \Gamma, y \in D_\Gamma(x)) \quad \Gamma' \text{ intuitionistic}}{\Gamma, x\bar{:}A, \Gamma' \vdash x : A} \quad (\bar{:} \in \{:, ::\})$$

where

- ❖  $\Gamma'$  *intuitionistic* means that it does not have linear  $::$ -entries
- ❖  $D_\Gamma(x)$  is defined as:
  - ❖  $x \in D_\Gamma(x)$ ;
  - ❖ For any  $y \in D_\Gamma(x)$ ,  $FV(\Gamma_y) \subseteq D_\Gamma(x)$ .
- ❖ **Examples:**
  - ❖ Judgements derivable intuitionistically are derivable.
  - ❖  $x::A, y:B(x) \vdash x:A$  and  $x::A, y:B(x) \vdash y:B(x)$  are derivable since  $x \in B(x)$ .
  - ❖  $x::A, x'::A, y:B(x) \vdash y : B(x)$  is *not* derivable if  $x' \notin B(x)$ .



## Other Rules (for completeness)

### ❖ Context validity

$$(C_1) \frac{}{\langle \rangle \text{ valid}} \quad (C_2) \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ type} \quad x \notin FV(\Gamma)}{\Gamma, x : A \text{ valid}} \quad (C_3) \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ type} \quad x \notin FV(\Gamma)}{\Gamma, x :: A \text{ valid}}$$

### ❖ Context permutation rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Delta \text{ valid}}{\Delta \vdash a : A} \quad (\Delta \in \text{perm}(\Gamma))$$

### ❖ Conversions and conversion rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash B \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash a : B} \quad (A \simeq B)$$

*Intuitionistic*  $\Pi$ -types (Conversion:  $(\lambda x:A.b)(a) \simeq [a/x]b$ )

*Linear*  $\Pi$ -types (Conversion:  $(\lambda x::A.b) a \simeq [a/x]b$ )

*Equality* types (Conversion:  $\text{subst}(\text{refl}(a), q) \simeq q$ )

# Weak Linearity

❖ *Defn (essential occurrences)* Let  $\Gamma \vdash a:A$ . The multiset  $E_\Gamma(a)$  of variables essentially occurring in  $a$  under  $\Gamma$  is inductively defined as follows (Eq-types omitted):

- ❖ Variable typing:  $E_{\Gamma, x:\bar{A}, \Gamma'}(x) = D_{\Gamma, x:\bar{A}, \Gamma'}(x)$
- ❖  $\lambda$ -typing:  $E_\Gamma(\lambda x:\bar{A}.b) = E_{\Gamma, x:\bar{A}}(b) \setminus \{x\}$
- ❖ Intuitionistic applications:  $E_\Gamma(f(a)) = E_\Gamma(f) \cup E_{\bar{\Gamma}}(a)$
- ❖ Linear applications:  $E_{Merge(\Gamma;\Delta)}(f a) = E_\Gamma(f) \cup E_\Delta(a)$

❖ *Theorem (weak linearity)*

In LDTT, every linear variable occurs essentially for exactly once in a well-typed term. Formally,

$\Gamma, y::B, \Gamma' \vdash a : A \rightarrow y \in E_{\Gamma, y::B, \Gamma'}(a)$  only once.

# Implementation

- ❖ Type checking algorithm
  - ❖ Follows the traditional algorithm for type inference/checking.
  - ❖ Decidability, if assuming meta-theoretic results (expected).
- ❖ Prototype implementation in Haskell
  - ❖ Merging oprns correspond to splitting oprns.
  - ❖ Available online: <https://github.com/yveszhang/ldtyping>

# Related Work

## ❖ Work on linearity in dependent types

- ❖ Eg, (Pfenning et al, I&C02), (Krishnaswami et al, POPL15), (Vákár, FoSSaCS 15)
- ❖ Lambek calculus with dependent types (Luo, TYPES 2015)
- ❖ Types in all above are non-dependent on linear/Lambek variables

## ❖ McBride 2016 (Walder Festschrift)

- ❖ More general setting: considering “prices” in  $\{0,1,w\}$ :

$$\rho_1 x_1 : A_1, \dots, \rho_n x_n : A_n \vdash \rho a : A$$

and different  $\Pi$ -types  $(\rho x:A) \rightarrow B$ :

- ❖  $(\omega x:A) \rightarrow B$  corresponds to intuitionistic  $\Pi$ -types
- ❖  $(1x:A) \rightarrow B$  corresponds to linear  $\Pi$ -types
- ❖ Type dependency  $B[x]$  only on “0-priced” variables  $x$ .
- ❖ Independent with the current work and comparison to be done.

# Future Work

- ❖ LDTT: allowing types to depend on linear variables
  - ❖ Simplicity
    - ❖ LDTT gives a “straightforward” extension with linearity
    - ❖ cf, McBride’s work, analysis to be done
  - ❖ Examples of reasoning
    - ❖ to be done with our prototype implementation
- ❖ Extension to other linear/Lambek type constructors